BEFORE THE TAX COMMISSION OF THE STATE OF IDAHO

In the Matter of the Protest of)	
)	DOCKET NO. 20052
[REDACTED],)	
)	DECISION
	Petitioners.)	
)	

On January 18, 2007, the Tax Discovery Bureau (TDB) of the Idaho State Tax Commission (Commission) issued a Notice of Deficiency Determination (NOD) to [Redacted] (petitioners) proposing income tax, penalty, and interest for the taxable years 2001, 2002, and 2003 in the total amount of \$7,060.

A timely protest and petition for redetermination was filed by the petitioners. An informal hearing has not been requested by the petitioners. The Commission has reviewed the file, is advised of its contents, and hereby issues its decision modifying the NOD.

The petitioners failed to file their 2001, 2002, and 2003 individual income tax returns. On November 15, 2006, the TDB sent a letter with a questionnaire to the petitioners to help the Commission properly determine the petitioners' filing requirement. The petitioners did not respond to this letter. [Redacted]

In the petitioners' protest letter received March 22, 2007, by e-mail, [Redacted] requested additional time to gather their records.

The TDB sent the petitioners a letter dated March 23, 2007, which requested they send their 2001, 2002, and 2003 Idaho individual income tax returns by May 1, 2007. The petitioners did not respond to this letter.

On June 20, 2007, the Tax Policy Specialist (policy specialist) sent the petitioners a letter to inform them of the alternatives for redetermining a protested NOD. A follow-up letter was sent to the petitioners on October 19, 2007. The petitioners did not respond to either letter.

The policy specialist requested and received [Redacted] 2001 and 2002 W-2 Wage and Tax Statements from her employers and also reviewed her 2003 W-2 Wage and Tax Statements filed by her employer with the Commission. The W-2s for these years were used to modify the Commission's provisional returns.

The petitioners have not provided the Commission with information to establish that the amounts asserted in the NOD, as adjusted, are incorrect. As a result, the Commission will uphold the NOD, as adjusted. A determination of the State Tax Commission is presumed to be correct. *Albertson's, Inc. v. State, Dept. of Revenue*, 106 Idaho 810, 814, 683 P.2d 846, 850 (1984). The burden is on the petitioner to show that the deficiency is erroneous. *Parsons v. Idaho State Tax Commission*, 110 Idaho 572, 574-575 n.2 (Ct. App. 1986).

[Redacted] [Redacted] Therefore, the Commission must uphold the deficiency as modified.

WHEREFORE, the Notice of Deficiency Determination dated January 18, 2007, as MODIFIED, is hereby APPROVED, AFFIRMED, and MADE FINAL.

IT IS ORDERED and THIS DOES ORDER that the petitioners pay the following tax, penalty, and interest:

<u>YEAR</u>	\underline{TAX}	<u>PENALTY</u>	<u>INTEREST</u>	<u>TOTAL</u>
2001	\$ 881	\$220	\$352	\$1,453
2002	275	69	93	437
2003	1,113	278	314	1,705
			TOTAL DUE	<u>\$3,595</u>

COMMISSIONER

Interest is computed through September 11, 2008, and will continue to accrue at the rate

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that on this	day of	, 2008, a copy of the
within and foregoing DECISION was	•	me by United States mail, postage
prepaid, in an envelope addressed to:		
[REDACTED]	Receipt	No.